FINAL REPORT

W-49-R(SI)-32

"Onset, Etiology and Significance of Disease in Rabbits in southern Illinois"

¹⁹ Submitted by ⊃⇒Cooperative Wildlife Research Laboratory, SIUC

> Presented to Illinois Department of Conservation

> > December 20, 1985

Prepared by:

Alan Woolf, Assistant Director Cooperative Wildlife Research Laboratory Southern Illinois University Carbondale, IL 62901

Approved by:

W. D. Klimstra, Director Cooperative Wildlife Research Laboratory Southern Illinois University Carbondale, IL 62901

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2012 with funding from CARLI: Consortium of Academic and Research Libraries in Illinois

http://archive.org/details/onsetetiologysig00wool

FINAL REPORT

STATE OF ILLINOIS

W-49-R(SI)-32

5

STUDY I

Job 3

Project Period: July 1, 1982 through June 30, 1985

Study I: Cottontail Rabbit Investigations

<u>Job No. 3</u>: Determine Onset, Etiology and Significance of Disease in Rabbits in southern Illinois

> Prepared by Alan Woolf and Dwayne Lepitzki Cooperative Wildlife Research Laboratory Southern Illinois University at Carbondale

Need:

Rabbit harvests have rather consistently declined over the past decade in Illinois; loss of habitat has been suggested the major causative factor. Cottontail rabbits (<u>Sylvilagus floridanus</u>) are subject to many environmental variables and decimating factors including temperature, rainfall, cover and food availability, disease, predation, and perhaps above all, habitat change. However, populations have also declined, or experienced major die-offs where habitat quality remains suitable. Various diseases, singularly or in concert, may be responsible for these declines; these need be delineated and their significance in population regulation assessed.

Objective:

To determine the mortality factors limiting rabbit populations in selected areas of Illinois; and to determine the etiology of diseases, especially zoonotic diseases.

INTRODUCTION

Status and Literature Background

Rabbit populations are known, or suspected to be declining throughout their range. Bailey (1968a) suggested that synchronous fluctuations of abundance in the northeast and north-central regions occur; however, trends over the past 2 decades are generally downward. Certainly populations fluctuate in response to many limiting factors; but, over time land-use changes and resulting loss of habitat have exerted a major influence on rabbit abundance (Edwards et al. 1981). However, some populations seem to be lower than the habitat could support. The cause(s) of low populations in areas where habitat appears excellent are not universal, nor are they easy to define. Another aspect of rabbit population ecology is an apparent high density in spring and early summer, yet few rabbits seem present during fall when hunters are afield. It is possible that behavioral patterns make cottontails less visable in the fall. Giles (1980) found rabbits were most visible in July and August, but the number observed dropped to near zero in October. Concurrent trapping revealed densities of 2.65/ha in October versus peak densities of 2.82/ha suggesting that mortality was less than visual observation suggested.

Despite some evidence that declines may be fluctuations rather than absolute and that visibility bias may overestimate losses in some instances low populations in good habitat have been documented (Jacobson et al. 1978a). Further, the progressive loss of rabbits between breeding and hunting seasons has been reported by numerous workers. Various diseases and etiological agents have been incriminated in these losses including myiasis, coccidiosis, gastrointestinal helminths, Tyzzer's disease, tuleremia, and viruses. Thus nearly

, every category of infectious disease has been reported.

Various diseases, singularly or in concert, may be underlying causes of local population declines. If present land-use trends continue to compartmentalize cottontail habitats, disease could become an even more important regulatory factor. Some aspects of diseases have been studied in Illinois (Yeatter and Thompson 1943, Ecke and Yeatter 1956, Stannard and Pictsch 1958, Mohr and Lord 1960, Ferris et al. 1960, 1961); these were conducted in times of relative rabbit abundance and did not address regulatory potential.

STUDY AREAS

Wayne Fitzgerrell State Park (WFSP)

WFSP, located in Franklin and Jefferson counties about 10 km north of Benton, Illinois, was dedicated as a multiple use recreation area in October 1975. Farming was the dominant land use prior to acquisition and development as a park; many fence rows, abandoned crop fields, cleared farmsteads and small woodlots remained. An abundant rabbit population was evident; 2,645 rabbits were harvested in December 1975 during a 23 day controlled hunt. The following year 17 hunting days yielded but 246 rabbits. This substantial harvest reduction may have been attributable to overharvest, but winter 1975 was mild and good production would have been expected spring and summer 1976. Noteworthy was the statewide trend which showed a higher average season bag in 1976 than in 1975 (Ellis 1979).

Rabbit hunts were not conducted at WFSP 1977-1980, and by 1980 recovery to high levels was evident. In summer 1980 a major die-off was reported. Expected recovery did not occur; the population was severely depressed in winter 1981 due either to a lack of recovery from the 1980 die-off or continued action of the mortality factor(s).

Between park dedication and present, there has been unusual consistency in crop patterns and attention to providing good wildlife cover. The Illinois Department of Conservation (IDOC) presently manages about 1,440 ha, approximately one-third of which is used for hunting and field trials. Crop production through agricultural leases has averaged about 112 ha. In addition, 10-12 ha have been annually planted to millet, buckwheat, milo and various grasses as wildlife food patches.

Cooperative Wildlife Research Laboratory Annex

Semi-captive populations of rabbits were established in 1983 and 1984 in a 1.48 ha outdoor enclosure about 1 km west of the main Southern Illinois University Campus at Carbondale, Jackson County, Illinois. The enclosure site is well drained with a gentle slope. Grasses and blackberry (<u>Rubus allegheniensis</u>) provide vegetative cover. Mowing was utilized to intersperse cover, control blackberry spread, and aid observations. Previous cottontail studies in this enclosure (Yaich 1981) documented epizootics of unknown etiology.

METHODS

Population Monitoring

Wayne Fitzgerrell State Park

The WFSP population was monitored by a 32 km non-repeat km auto census route including most roads in and around the park. Sporadic, but usually monthly censuses began September 1982 and continued until a biweekly schedule was initiated March 1984. Censuses began about 1 hour before sunset and took about 2 hours to complete. Number

and the second second

'and location of cottontails were recorded. Incidentally observed mammals and birds were also noted.

Enclosure Population

In January-March 1983 and 1984, 20 and 31 rabbits were live trapped and stocked in the 1.46 ha enclosure. Captured rabbits were anesthetized with about 40 mg/kg ketamin HCl for examination; they were sexed, aged (adult or juvenile), and breeding condition noted. Standard measurements were taken, physical condition assessed, and 10 mls blood collected via cardiac puncture for packed cell volume determination, serum chemistry and tularemia testing. Tags were inserted in both ears for subsequent identification, then animals were released into the enclosure. A pelleted rabbit feed was provided ad libitum in 5 feeders.

The populations were monitored by evening observation periods and daily searches. In 1984, 9 activity monitoring radio-transmitters were attached to rabbits to enhance monitoring for mortality. Collection and Necropsy

Beginning in May 1983 and June 1984, biweekly collections of 5 juveniles from the enclosure were attempted. Wooden box traps baited with apple quarters were used plus shooting with a .22 caliber rifle. When juvenile numbers were low, adults also were collected. An attempt was made to eradicate the enclosure population by October in 1983. A similar attempt was made in November 1984.

When biweekly collection quotas were almost complete and further efforts in the pen were futile, rabbits were shot in an adjacent pen (the West pen) or along the Wildlife Annex's road. Rabbits were also collected in Crab Orchard NWR in 1983 and WFSP in 1984.

Shot animals were immediately placed in a white enamel tray to collect ectoparasites leaving the cooling body. Blood for serology and tularemia testing was aspirated from the bullet wound; blood for packed cell volume (PVC) determination was collected in 75 mm heparinized micro-hematocrit capillary tubes from free-flowing blood. Cardiac puncture was attempted to collect all blood possible. The carcass was then placed in a plastic bag containing an ether soaked paper towel, placed on ice, and transported to the lab. Processing in the lab was completed as soon as possible. In 1984, all processing occurred the same day the animal was collected.

Live-trapped animals were transported to the lab and anesthetized with Ketamine HCl. Blood for PCV, serology, and tularemia testing was collected via cardiac puncture; death was by exsanguination.

Total length, ear length, tarsus length, and body weight were recorded. The animal was then skinned. Fresh weights of liver (g), spleen (g), kidneys (g), total fat (abdominal, visceral, and interscapular) (g), and adrenals (mg) were recorded; a Triple Beam Balance and an electronic analytical balance were used. Stomach, small intestine, and large intestine were ligated to prevent post-mortem gastrointestinal (GI) helminth migration. The GI tract and pelt then were refrigerated or frozen. Whenever possible, both were examined for parasites within one or two days of the rabbit's death. Fecal samples were also collected from the rectum and preserved in potassium dichromate (7.5% solution).

Any general rabbit abnormalities were recorded during the necropsy. Bailey's (1968b) weight-length relationship and Chapman et al.'s (1977) adrenal index were used to quantify physical condition.

All tissue evidencing gross lesions and sections of liver, spleen,

heart, lung, brain, and kidney were collected and stored in 10% neutral buffered (NB) formalin. Histological slides, initially stained with H & E, were prepared by personnel of the SIU-C Medical School Histology Lab. Eyeballs were collected and stored in 10% NB formalin. Eye lens weights were later derived using Lord's (1959) methodology.

Parasitology

Ectoparasites were collected from pelts by carefully parting the hair. Beginning in September 1983 and extending for all the 1984 necropsies, the pelts were then digested in a potassium hydroxide solution (39.7 g pelleted KOH, 800 ml water). Skins were placed in Erlenmeyer flasks containing the digest solution, heated to approximately 90 degrees C. on a hot plate for about 4 hrs, and drained through a 250 mm sieve. Ticks and fleas from digested pelts, those collected through cursory examination of pelts, and others which had dropped off during processing were counted, collected and stored in glycerin-alcohol (95 parts 70% ethanol, 5 parts glycerine). The storage medium was changed to 70% ethanol in 1984. Ectoparasites were identified, sexed, and aged with the aid of a variable power zoom dissecting micros cope with a double magnifier. Criteria of Cooley and Kohls (1944, 1945) and Furman and Catts (1982) were used to identify ectoparasites. During initial processing, a general inspection of the peritoneal, pleural, and pericardial cavities, body musculature, mesenteries, and subcutaneous areas were made to locate, count and collect helminths.

The stomach, small intestine and large intestine were split with scissors and the mucosa scraped with a scalpel handle. Scrapings and tissues were washed with tap water; all wash water was collected and serially decanted until clear. Large and small intestinal tissue

was discarded but the stomach was examined at 7x with a dissecting microcope to locate worms embedded in mucosa. All substrates from washings were similarly examined at 7x. Helminths were counted, collected and stored.

All helminths were initially stored in glycerine-alcohol. Beginning in September 1983, cestodes and trematodes were fixed and stored in glycerine-alcohol. When possible, live worms were killed and fixed in hot fixative to ensure quality specimens.

Total counts were obtained for nematodes and cestodes; however, when trematode numbers were high (> 3000), a dilution counting technique was used. Two 10 ml aliquotes were taken and the trematodes counted. If the 2 subsamples were within 10% of each other, an average was taken and multiplied by the total volume of the sample/10. If the subsamples were not within 10% of each other, a third 10 ml aliquot was counted and the 3 subsamples were averaged.

Nematodes were cleared in glycerine by allowing the alcohol in the glycerine-alcohol to slowly evaporate and then mounted in glycerine jelly. Cestodes and trematodes were stained progressively and regressively with Semichon's Aceto-carmine and mounted in Canada balsam. Papers by Erickson (1947), McCrae (1956), Skrjabin (1954, 1964, and 1969), Stiles (1896), and Yamaguti (1959, 1961) aided in the identification of endoparasites. In addition, a McMaster's fecal counting chamber was used to count helminth eggs and coccidal oocysts.

Microbiology

Swabs of heart blood, lung, and small intestine were collected aseptically using sterile swabs and immediately cultured or refrigerated overnight. Each sample was examined by direct smear using a gram

stain then aerobically cultured for at least 48-72 hours using commercially prepared enriched broth and agar plates. Any growth was identified by a combination of colony characteristics, standard microbiological procedures, and commercial identification kits (Analytab Products). All microbiological preparations and cultures were performed by personnel of the SIU-C Vivarium diagnostic Laboratory.

Isolation and identification of <u>Francisella</u> <u>tularensis</u> was attempted by Dr. Meir Lev of the Department of Microbiology, SIU-C. Standard tularemia isolation techniques were used on frozen liver sections.

Hematology and serology

Blood collected in heparinized capillary tubes was spun in a microhematocrit centrifuge for 5 minutes. Packed cell volume (PVC) was determined by measuring the length of the volume of red blood cells (mm) / total length of the blood sample (mm) in the capillary tube. Reported PVC is an average of tubes collected from each rabbit.

Blood collected for serology and tularemia testing was allowed to clot. Sera was separated by low speed centrifugation (3000 rpm, 5 min.), pipetted into vials, and stored at -20 degrees C. until analysis. Commercially prepared antigen and antibodies (Difco Lab.) were used to conduct rapid slide agglutination tests to detect titers against <u>Francisella tularensis</u>. A second serum aliquot from the same rabbit was sent to Dr. Morris Cooper (Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, SIU-C School of Medicine, Springfield, IL.) who performed enzyme-linked-immunoabsorbent-assays (ELISA) for tularemia. A third serum aliquot was analyzed for serological components. A Technicon SMA II Auto Serum Analyzer available through Memorial Hospital in Carbondale was used to measure sodium (Na), potassium

.

(KO, chloride (Cl), calcium (Ca), inorganic phosphorus (IN.P.), serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (SGOT), serum pyruvic transaminase (SGPT), creatine phospokinase (CPK), lactic dehydrogenase (LDH), alkaline phosphatase (A.P.), creatinine (CREA), uric acid (U.A.), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), carbon dioxide (CO₂), bilirubin (BILI), albumin, (ALB), total protein (T.P.), albumin/globulin ratio (A/G), osmolarity (OSMOL), glucose (GLU H), and cholesterol (CHOL ENZ).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Wayne Fitzgerrell State Park

The population at WFSP remained depressed throughout the study precluding routine rabbit collections. Fifty-one auto censuses were conducted 8 September 1982-12 June 1985 (Table 1). Rabbits were seen during only 3 of 14 censuses between September 1982-June 1983; the maximum number seen was 4 on 8 June. Between July 1983 and June 1984 censuses indicated a depressed population, but 24 were seen on 15 March providing the first evidence of over winter survival and population recovery. The census index again declined between July-September 1984; the highest count was 12 during July censuses. From September 1984 through March 1985 fewer rabbits were seen than during the preceeding winter; the peak count was 7 rabbits on 6 March. Initiation of population recovery was evidenced April-June 1985; a peak count of 30 rabbits was made 12 June.

The auto censuses revealed a very depressed population seemingly unable to recover quickly. Pockets of rabbits surviving the 1980 epizootic persisted mainly south of Rt. 183 and along the NE boundary of the park adjacent to Rend Lake College. Distribution of rabbits

Table 1. Number of cottontail rabbits seen during auto censuses at Wayne Fitzgerrell State Park, Franklin and Jefferson counties, southern Illinois, September 1982 through June 1985. Average census route, including repeat mileage, was 50.6 kilometers.

	19	82	198	3	19	84	19	85
Month	Day	#Rabbits	Day	#	Day	, #	Day	#
January		<u> </u>	18	0	20	0	16	2
February							16	4
March			12	0	16 15 30	3 24 15	20 6 20	0 7 5
April			26	0	16 30	9 4	3 17	19 1
May					15 29	1 0	1 15	10 16
June			8	4	14	5	1	20
July			15 17	0 0	29 14	12 12	12	30
August			17	0	27 10	12 7		
September	8	1			24 6	8 1		
	13 15	0 0	13	0				
	20 22	0 0			19	0		
	27	0						
October	29	0	19	0	5	1		
November	8	1			31 14	0		
December	22	0	17 15	0 0	28	0		

remained very localized through 1984 as evidenced by auto censuses, winter snow tracking and incidental observations. April-June 1985 observations indicated a more widespread distribution through the park.

Reasons for the slow recovery are unclear. Because of the park's relative isolation, immigration probably contributed little to population recovery except along the NE boundary. In spite of the potential for high recruitment, it apparently was inadequate to substantially surpass mortality factors between 1981-85; only population maintenance at a low level was evident. Only 6 animals were collected in 1984; 3 were seropositive to tularemia suggesting endemic disease remained in the population that could have prevented recovery.

Rabbit Enclosure

Young of the year were first observed on 18 May and 23 March in 1983 and 1984, respectively. More time spent in the pen in 1984 may account for this difference. No evidence of any die-offs in 1983 or 1984 was noticed.

Abundance indices for both years indicated good overall recruitment. Regression lines plotting the mean number of rabbits per 5 minute scan against week of observation were significant (1983, r=0.711; 1984, r=0.94). Both plots showed a negative relationship between abundance index and week of observation (1983, mean # rabbits = -0.218 (week) + 4.010; 1984, mean # rabbits = -0.51 (week) + 8.48). Relationships between regression lines and number of rabbits potentially available each week for observation indicated indices were reliable. The biweekly collections controlled population size throughout the study period.

Essentially the enclosure populations provided baseline data representing high density, healthy rabbits.

Collection and Necropsy

8

Forty-seven and 90 cottontails were necropsied in 1983 and 1984, respectively (Table 2). Thirty-six of 1983 necropsies and 73 of 1984 necropsies were animals collected from the enclosure. General body and physiological measurements and weights appear in Appendix I (1983) and Appendix II (1984). Physiological weights and measures included: body weight; right, left, and total adrenal and kidney weights; total fat weight (included visceral, kidney, and interscapular fat); spleen and liver weights; and eye lens weights. Indices included adrenal index and Bailey's condition index (Table 3). Rabbits in 1984 had larger adrenals, kidneys, eye lens, and adrenal indices than 1983 rabbits; 1984 rabbits also had a lower Bailey's condition index. Differing cottontail densities within the enclosure between the two years may explain this difference. Most variations seen in the physiological weights and measures of 1983 and 1984 rabbits were attributable to age derived from eye lens weight. In both years, the few rabbits collected from Crab Orchard NWR had significantly larger adrenals and adrenal indices than enclosure animals. Nutritional stress of Crab Orchard NWR rabbits may explain this difference as those in the enclosure were on a high plane of nutrition. In 1984, the Bailey's indices from 6 rabbits collected at WFSP were significantly higher than those from Crab Orchard NWR; low densities in WFSP and a correspondingly high plane of nutrition may explain this difference.

the second se

	Age	19	83	198	4 95	1985	
Month		males	females	males	females	males	females
January	adult juvenile						
February	adult juvenile			2 0	1 (W) 0	1 0	1 0
March	adult juvenile			2 (2C) 0	4 (3C,1 1	R) 1 0	1 0
April	adult juvenile			0 4	0 5 (1R)		
May	adult juvenile	0 4	1 2	0 0	1 (J) 0		
June	adult juvenile	0 3	0 5 (1R)	2 (2W) 3	1 3 (1W)		
July	adult juvenile	3 (3C) 4 (1C)	1 (C) 2	0 5	1 (W) 5 (1W)		
August	adult juvenile	0 4 (2R)	1 (R) 6 (2P)	1 4	0 4		
September	adult juvenile			0 5 (1R)	4 (1W) 3		
October	adult juvenile	2 2	5 2	1 6	1 4		

Table 2. Number of cottontail rabbits necropsied in southern Illinois in 1983, 1984, and 1985. Unless otherwise indicated, rabbits originated from the Cooperative Wildlife Research Laboratory's enclosure.

Table 2 continued.

		1983		1984		1985	
Month	Age	males	females	males	females	males	females
November	adult			6	4		
December	juvenile adult			1	1		
December	juvenile			0	0 0		
Total	adult juvenile	5 17	8 17	15 28	17 26	2 0	2 0
		22	25	43	43	2	2

- 15 -

- A = Wildlife annex road, the road immediately adjacent to the rabbit enclosure.
- C = Crab Orchard National Wildlife Refuge.
- W = Wayne Fitzgerrell State Park.
- P = West pen, pen immediately adjacent to rabbit pen. J = Jackson County, animal delivered by County Animal Control Officer.





Parameter	n	mean	range	95% CI (<u>+</u>)
Body weight (g)	135	833.6	15.0 -1730.0	81.8
Adrenal weight Right (mg) Left Total	127 128 127	62.5 83.7 146.3	3.7 - 175.1 3.9 - 255.4 7.6 - 418.5	7.2 10.3 17.5
Kidney weight Right (g) Left Total	128 127 127	2.8 2.7 5.5	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	
Total fat weight (g)	127	4.6	0.0 - 55.4	1.6
Liver weight (g)	103	22.9	0.9 - 62.9	2.7
Spleen weight (g)	136	1.2	0.4 - 8.5	0.2
Eye lens weight (mg)	112	144.1	22.1 - 288.8	16.0
Adrenal index	126	8.27	3.97- 18.66	0.48
Bailey's index	127	6.02	4.32- 8.64	0.14

Table 3. Means, ranges, and 95% confidence intervals of physiological weights and measures of cottontails collected in 1983 and 1984 in southern Illinois.

Parasitology

Four ticks, Haemaphysalis leporis-palustris, Ixodes dentatus, Amblyomma americana, and Dermacentor variabilis, 2 fleas, Cediopsylla simplex and Odontopsyllus multispinosus, and 1 dipteran, Cuterebra spp. infested cottontails (Table 4). D. variabilis were not recovered from 1984 rabbits and O. multispinosus were not recovered from 1983 rabbits. Nymphs and larvae of H. leporis-palustris, adults and larvae of I. dentatus, and C. simplex infestations were significantly larger in 1984 than in 1983; total ectoparasites and the ectoparasite index (total ectoparasites/body weight x 100) were also significantly larger in 1984 than in 1983. Density of cottontails in 1984 and 1983 may explain this difference but it is cautioned that the epizootiologies of these ectoparasites were not examined. Most variations seen with ectoparasitic infestations related to seasonality of occurrence. As previously found, adult ticks reach greatest abundance in spring and early summer, larvae and nymph ticks reached abundance peaks in fall, and C. simplex was most abundant during winter. WFSP rabbits had significantly lower infestations with <u>H. leporis-palustris</u> nymphs and larvae and significantly lower total ectoparasite and ectoparasite indices than did enclosure and Crab Orchard NWR rabbits. Reduced population levels in WFSP since the die-off may offer an explanation.

Little pathology was seen in association with ectoparasite infestations. Some small (<5 mm) whitish purulent subcutaneous abscesses accompanied with erythema were noticed beneath tick attachment sites and a whitish-red cheesy material was commonly found within bot fly larvae capsules. The median intensity of <u>H. leporis-palustris</u> infestation was well above

	198	33	19	84
Ectoparasite	Prevalence	Number of parasites	Prevalence	Number of parasites
arina:				
emaphysalis leporis-palustris	47(96) ^a	130(1-1670) ^a	80(94)	498(2-3933
odes <u>dentatus</u>	47(51)	10(1-270)	80(83)	9(1-577)
olyomma americana	47(17)	5(1-88)	80(5)	1(1-8)
macentor variabilis	47(2)	1(1)	80(0)	-
ohonaptera:				
liopsylla simplex	47(15)	1(1-2)	80(34)	2(1-60)
ontopsyllus multispinosus	47(0)	-	80(8)	1(1-2)
tera:				
erebra spp. ^b	47(4)	2(2-3)	80(18)	1(1-3)

ble 4. Prevalence and intensity of infestation with arthropods of cottontails collected southern Illinois in 1983 and 1984.

revalence = number of cottontails examined (% infected); median intensity and range).

ncludes wounds from bot fly larvae as well as actual recovery of larvae in 984.

the second second

"heavy infestations" indicated by other workers as causing significant pathology and host mortality, but we did not find similar effects.

Six nematodes, <u>Obeliscoides cuniculi</u>, <u>Trichostrongylus calcaratus</u>, <u>Trichostrongylus affinis</u>, <u>Longistriata noviberiae</u>, <u>Dermatoxys veligera</u>, and <u>Trichuris spp.</u>; 2 cestodes, <u>Cittotaenia spp. and <u>Taenia pisiformis</u>, and 1 fluke, <u>Hasstilesia tricolor</u>, were recovered from cottontails; helminth eggs and coccidian oocysts were also found in fecal pellets (Table 5). <u>O. cuniculi</u>, <u>T. calcaratus</u>, total <u>Trichostrongylus</u>, <u>L</u>. <u>noviberiae</u>, total nematodes, <u>T. pisiformis</u>, total cestodes, <u>H. tricolor</u>, total helminths, and coccidian infections as well as the helminth index were significantly larger in 1984 than in 1983. A gradual build-up of infective intermediate stages of these parasites as well as a higher density of rabbits in the enclosure in 1984 may explain the difference between years.</u>

Most variations seen with endoparasite infections related to seasonality. As previously reported, <u>O</u>. <u>cuniculi</u> and <u>T</u>. <u>affinis</u> infections peak in summer while <u>Cittotaenia</u> spp. and <u>H</u>. <u>tricolor</u> infections peak in fall and winter, respectively. WFSP cottontails had significantly lower burdens of <u>O</u>. <u>cuniculi</u>, <u>T</u>. <u>calcaratus</u>, <u>T</u>. <u>affinis</u>, total <u>Trichostrongylus</u>, <u>L</u>. <u>noviberiae</u>, and total nematodes than did pen and/or Crab Orchard NWR rabbits. Again, the reduced population levels in WFSP since the die-off probably explains this areal difference.

Little if any significant pathology was seen in association with endoparasite infections. Localized, mild gastric hemorrhages in the immediate area of embedded <u>O</u>. <u>cuniculi</u> were found infrequently; whereas, yellowish-white, minute serosal and parenchymal hepatic granulomas

.

	19	83	1	984
Parasite	Prevalence	Number of Parasites	Prevalence	Number of Parasites
Nematoda:				
<u>Obeliscoides cuniculi</u>	45(84) ^a	20(1-149) ^a	80(93)	38(1-306)
<u> Trichostrongylus calcaratus</u>	45(67)	39(1-542)	80(75)	111(3-1098)
<u>I. affinis</u>	45(56)	28(6-460)	80(64)	66(3-629)
<u>r</u> . spp.	45(24)	8(1-17)	80(24)	2(1-21)
ongistriata noviberiae	45(53)	4(1-26)	80(75)	12(1-356)
<u>Dermatoxys veligera</u>	45(4)	1(1)	80(1)	5(5)
<u>frichuris</u> spp.	45(20)	1(1-2)	80(20)	2(1-12)
Cestoda:	đ			
<u>Cittotaenia</u> spp.	45(64)	25(1-207)	80(91)	18(1-133)
Taenia pisiformis	47(11)	4(1-20)	80(46)	13(1-217)
rematoda:				
lasstilesia tricolor	45(24)	462(24-5066)	80(75)	1116(1-26159)
ecal floats: ^b				
lelminth eggs	47(67)	525(50-46100) 74(65)	500(50-4800)
Coccidian oocysts	47(80)	3200(50-97600) 74(99)	4650(50-757550)

Table 5. Prevalence and intensity of infection with helminths and coccidia of cottontails collected in southern Illinois in 1983 and 1984.

¹Prevalence = number of cottontails examined (% infected); median intensity and (range).

Per gram feces.

were commonly seen with migrating <u>T</u>. <u>pisiformis</u> larvae. Median intensities and ranges of infections of endoparasites recovered did not vary consistently when compared to similar data from other studies.

Microbiology

Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus epidermis, and Streptococcus spp., were routinely isolated from heart, lung, and small intestine swabs and cultures (Table 6). Only two potential pathogens, <u>Staphylococcus</u> <u>aureus</u> and <u>Streptococcus pneumonia</u>, were isolated; neither animal from which these originated, showed signs of disease although mild, focal parasitic pneumonia was tentatively diagnosed by histopathology in one animal. A bacteremia caused by a group D Streptococcus was implicated in the death of one animal which had whitish cauliflower-like lesions on its liver and spleen from which the bacteria in question was isolated.

Serology

Previously unreported reference values were obtained for sodium (Na), potassium (K), glucose (GLU H), uric acid (U.A.), calcium (Ca), creatinine (CREA), alkaline phosphatase (AP), serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (SGOT), serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (SGPT), albumin/globulin ratio (A/G), and osmolality (OSMOL). In addition, basic line values comparable to other studies were compiled for packed cell volume (PCV), albumin (ALB), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), bilirubin (BILI), total protein (T.P.), and cholesterol (CHOL ENZ) (Table 7).

Age and sex of rabbit as well as year, method, month, season, and location of collection all singularily and in concert, affected the serological variables.

Endo- and ectoparasite burdens and eye lens weights entered maximum

-

		198 n=3			198 n=7	
	Heart	Lung	Sm. Int.	Heart	Lung	Sm. Int.
Escherichia <u>coli</u>	7(18)	8(21)	24(62)	3(4)	1(1)	37(53)
Straphylococcus epidermis	2(5)	11(28)	4(10)		1(1)	2(3)
<pre>Streptococcus (alpha, gamma, Group D enterococcus, non-enterococcus)</pre>	2(5)	10(26)	3(8)			15(21)
Bacillus spp.	4(10)	2(5)	3(8)			
gram negative rods	3(8)		2(5)			
gram positive rods	1(3)	2(5)	1(3)			
Diphtheroids		3(8)				
Enterobacter cloacae	1(3)				1(1)	1(1)
Enterobacter agglomerans	1(3)	1(3)			1(1)	
Pseudomonas maltophila	1(3)					
Pseudomonas fluorescens	1(3)					
Pseudomonas spp.		1(3)	1(3)			
Aeromonas hydrophilia	1(3)	1(3)				
<u>Klebsiella oxytoca</u>			1(3)			
gram positive cocci		1(3)				
<u>Staphylococcus</u> , coagulase negative					1(1)	
Staphylococcus aureus *	1(3)	1(3)				
Streptococcus pneumonia *		1(3)				
Proteus mirabilis				1(1)		

Table 6. Prevalence of bacteria isolated from the heart, lung, and small intestine of cottontails collected in southern Illinois in 1983 and 1984.

Prevalence = number infected (% infected).

*Possible pathogen.

,

.

Parameter	n	mean	range	95% CI (<u>+</u>)
PCV (%)	102	36.2	17.6- 49.0	1.5
Na (mEq/1)	85	138.1	119 -151	1.2
((mEq/1)	47	6.5	4.0- 9.7	0.4
(mEq/1)	85	100.8	84 -115	1.5
SLU H (mg∕dl)	84	227.6	38 - 398	16.0
BUN (mg/dl)	85	21.2	7 - 55	2.3
J.A. (mg/dl)	85	1.8	0.4- 7.9	0.2
HOL ENZ (mg/dl)	85	37.6	5 -201	5.6
LB (g/dl)	85	2.8	1.6- 4.3	0.1
a (mg/dl)	85	10.9	6.4- 15.0	1.6
REA (mg/dl)	82	1.1	0.1- 2.0	0.1
ILI (mg/dl)	82	0.2	0.0- 0.5	0.02
P(U/1)	50	91.1	18 - 350	17.6
GOT (U/1)	48	116.2	26 -293	20.5
GPT (U/1)	50	92.0	34 -296	17.3
P (g/l)	42	5.5	3.8- 8.3	0.3
/G ratio	42	1.2	0.5- 1.7	0.1
SMOL (mOsm/1)	42	227.1	235 - 296	3.3

Table 7. Means, ranges, and 95% confidence intervals of seriological parameters of cottontails collected in southern Illinois in 1983 and 1984.

 R^2 stepwise regression equations for some serological and physiological parameters with both positive and negative slopes. The associations between parasite burdens and serological parameters and parasite burdens and physiological parameters were not consistent from year to year. Deviations in the levels of serological and physiological parameters indicative of disease processes were not seen; parasite burdens may not have reached or exceeded a threshold level beyond which parasitism becomes disease detectable by serum chemistry or physiological values outside of normal ranges.

24

Tularemia

Seroprevalences of antibodies to tularemia detectable by the enzymelinked-immuno-absorbent-assay (ELISHA) in necropsied cottontails in 1983 and 1984 were 26 and 25%, respectively. In addition, 7 rabbits had serial blood samples of which at least one sample was seropositive; 3 animals gained titers, 2 animals lost titers, and 2 animals maintained titers, one of which had a 1:160 titer in March, August, and November samples. <u>Francisella tularensis neartica</u> or tularemia type A was successfully cultured and isolated from the frozen liver of a rabbit which exhibited no signs of tularemia and was seronegative for tularemia antibodies.

Three of six rabbits collected from WFSP possessed tularemia titers, one possessed the greatest titer recorded (1:2,560). It appears that tularemia is enzootic in the WFSP population. Presumptively, tularemia caused the 1980-81 die-off at WFSP, and enzootic tularemia combined with the "island" setting of the park may have delayed population recovery.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Baseline data were established that will have value in assessing

population health. Hematology/serum chemistry reference values are becoming increasingly important diagnostic tools to assess both clinical and nutritional status. Levels for PCV, ALB, BUN, BILI (Jacobson et al. 1978a, 1978b) and CHOL ENZ (Warren and Kirkpatrick 1978) were previously published for cottontails. We evaluated these plus provide new information on levels of Na, Cl, GLU H, U.A., Ca, CO₂, and CREA. Comparable reference values exist (Mitruka and Rawnsley 1981) for similar animals, including laboratory rabbits (<u>Oreytolagus cuniculus</u> and <u>Lepus europaeus</u>) and black-tailed jack rabbits (<u>L. californicus</u>).

Numerous variables may affect hematological/serum chemistry values, hence, "normal" must be viewed as a range. Important variables include sex, age, season, diet, and method of handling/collection. If variables are considered when interpreting deviation from a normal range, the data can be used to judge "normal" versus "diseased" rabbits, or to compare health status of populations over time or space. The more useful indicators seem to be PCV, BUN, ALB and TP. Data from low, moderate and high density populations, and from morbid animals are needed to validate these preliminary conclusions.

Parasitological results of this study are comparable to the literature in terms of ecto- and endoparasitic faunas, prevalence and intensity and ranges of infestations/infections. Significantly, we found no evidence of deleterious pathological effects of parasitism; only local tissue response was noted. At the levels we documented, parasitic diseases do not appear an important mortality factor of cottontails.

Necropsy, histopathology and microbiology did not reveal presence of diseases that were important mortality factors. Culture did detect

- 63 -

bacteria that are potential pathogens, but they were cultured from clinically normal rabbits and were considered normal flora. Bacterial disease caused by a group D Streptococcus was diagnosed in a morbid diagnostic case. Clinical disease was not evident in collected specimens.

The most significant finding was culture of type A tularemia from a single, clinically normal rabbit. This single case demonstrated endemic tularemia, and represented the only pathogen found with high potential to be an important mortality factor.

Serology provided further strong evidence for the presence of endemic tularemia in rabbits from 3 areas of southern Illinois. Seroprevalence of tularemia in cottontails is usually low (Andrews et al. 1980, Burgdorfer et al. 1974, McKeever et al. 1958). Early researchers interpreted low prevalence as an indication that cottontails do not survive tularemia (Jellison 1961, Yeatter and Thompson 1943).

Jellison et al. (1961) suggested that with the exception of predation, tularemia is the most frequent cause of death in cottontails. Drastic die-offs have been documented (McCahan et al. 1962, McGinnes 1964) and tularemia has been implicated in continuous declines in populations in Virginia (Jacobson et al. 1978a).

Jacobson et al. (1978a) reported a maximum prevalence of seropositive animals of 23% (4/17) during fall (Sep.-Oct.); no seropositive animals were seen the other three seasons. In the present study, overall prevalence was 26% by ELISA. Although month of collection was significantly related to ELISA titer, no monthly differences were seen.

The ELISA test developed during this study affords a sensitive, rapid and reliable diagnostic screening tool. It could be applied to testing for evidence of endemic tularemia where habitat quality

does not seem responsible for low rabbit abundance. Harvest strategies, especially for controlled hunting areas, could be applied to maximize hunting opportunity while concurrently keeping the population at a moderate density when risk of epizootic is less than at high density. This is an oversimplification, but does illustrate how management can address disease control.

The enclosure population at the Wildlife Annex did not experience significant mortality throughout the summer except that imposed by biweekly collections. Specifically, parasitic disease was discounted as a mortality factor; and, while microbiology revealed presences of potential pathogens, all isolates were obtained from clinically normal animals. Tularemia was the only potential pathogen documented by serology and l isolate; clinical disease was not detected.

Trauma (predation) was the only potentially important mortality factor not operative in the enclosure. An illustration of the potential of trauma (a non-infectious disease) to influence rabbit abundance was the control exerted by biweekly collections. Affects of immigration/emigration on abundance were minimal due to the secure fence; otherwise, the enclosure mimiced what might occur in any local area.

Rabbits at WFSP have been extremely slow to recover from the 1980 epizootic. Recovery equivalent to that evidenced by 1976 harvest data following the very high 1975 harvest did not occur suggesting that either 1) the epizootic was widespread and severe throughout the park, leaving too few animals to allow recovery solely by reproductive capacity, or 2) enzootic disease (probably tularemia) remains in the population as an additive mortality factory limiting recovery potential. Although its role cannot be discounted, there is no evidence that predator abundance

is unusually high and is limiting rate of recovery. Habitat quality was not directly assessed, but superficially appears to have remained consistent 1980-1985, therefore, it is discounted as a limiting factor. That the few animals sampled were in excellent condition as evidenced by necropsy and Bailey's index supports this assumption.

-20

The relative isolation of WFSP -- near "island" setting -- may illustrate the important role of immigration in maintaining rabbit populations, or in the recovery process following decimation from any causes. As available habitats become more "compartmentalized" and populations are geographically isolated, local scarcity, or even absence, in spite of suitable habitat, may be more commonplace. This hypothesized importance should be tested in a field situation; WFSP is an ideal setting for such study.

If our hypotheses are not rejected by testing, several management strategies are suggested. First, as previously noted, harvests at "controlled" areas should be planned when populations are "moderately" abundant rather than waiting for peak density. Secondly, reintroduction or supplemental releases by trap and transplant may be necessary to create or maintain harvestable populations in some areas. Clearly, a future need for intensive management seems evident.

LITERATURE CITED

- Andrews, C.L., R.R. Gerrish, and V.F. Nettle. 1980. Ectoparasites collected from eastern cottontails in the southeastern United States. J. Med. Entomol. 17:479-480.
- Bailey, J.A. 1968a. Region-wide fluctuations in the abundance of cottontails. Trans. N. Amer. Wildl. and Nat. Res. Conf. 33:265-278.
- Bailey, J.A. 1968b. A weight-length relationship for evaluating physical condition of cottontails. J. Wildl. Manage. 32:835-841.

- Burgdorfer, W., J.C. Cooney, and L.A. Thomas. 1974. Zoonotic potential (Rocky Mountain spotted fever and tularemia) in the Tennessee Valley region. II. Prevalence of <u>Rickettsia rickettsi</u> and <u>Francisella</u> <u>tularensis</u> in mammals and ticks from Land Between the Lakes. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 23:109-117.
- Chapman, J.A., A.L. Harman, and D.E. Samuel. 1977. Reproductive and physiological cycles in the cottontail complex in western Maryland and nearby West Virginia. Wildl. Mono. 56:1-73.
- Cooley, R.A., and G.M. Kohls. 1944. The genus Amblyomma (Ixodidae) in the U.S. J. Parasit. 30(2):77-111.

. 1945. The genus <u>Ixodes</u> in North America. U.S. Public Health Serv., Natl. Inst. Health Bull. 184. Wash. D.C. 246 pp.

- Ecke, D.H., and R.E. Yeatter. 1956. Notes on the parasites of cottontail rabbits in Illinois. Ill. Acad. Sci. Trans. 48:208-214.
- Edwards, W.R., S.P. Havera, R.F. Labisky, J.A. Ellis, and R.E. Warner. 1979. pp. 761-789 in K. Myers and C.D. MacInnes (eds.) Proc. World Lagomorph Conf., Aug. 12-16. Union Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada.
- Ellis, J.A. 1979. Hunter harvest survey, 1978-79. Illinois Dept. Cons. Job Completion Report, Proj. W-49-R-26, Job No.1. 30 pp. (mimeo).
- Erickson, A.B. 1947. Helminth parasites of rabbits of the genus <u>Sylvilagus</u>. J. Wildl. Manage. 11:179-184.
- Ferris, D.H., R.D. Lord, P.D. Beamer, and T.E. Fritz. 1960. A new disease in Illinois cottontails. J. Wildl. Manage. 24:179-184.
- Ferris, D.H., R.D. Lord, and D. Huxcoll. 1961. Observations on certain diseases of Illinois cottontails. Il. State Acad. Sci. 55:230-249.
- Furman, D.P., and E.P. Catts. 1982. Manual of medical entomology. 4th Edn. Cambridge Univ. Press. 207 pp.
- Giles, J.M. 1980. Where are all the rabbits? Pa. Game News 51:6-8.
- Jacobson, H.A., R.L. Kirkpatrick, and B.S. McGinnes. 1978a. Disease and physiological characteristics of two cottontail populations in Virginia. Wildl. Mono. 60:1-53.
- Jacobson, H.A., R.L. Kirkpatrick, H.E. Burkhart, and J.W. Davis. 1978b. Hematological comparisons of shot and live-trapped cottontail rabbits. J. Wildl. Dis. 14:82-88.
- Jellison, W.L., C.R. Owens, J.F. Bell, and G.M. Kohls. 1961. Tularemia and animal populations: ecology and epizootiology. Wildl. Dis. 17:1-22 (microcard).
- Lord, R.D. 1959. The lens as an indication of age in cottontail rabbits. J. Wildl. Manage. 23:358-360.

.

- McCahan, G.R., M.D. Moody, and F.A. Hayes. 1962. An epizootic of tularemia among rabbits in northwestern South Carolina. Am. J. Hyg. 75:335-338.
- McCrae, R.C. 1956. Helminth parasites of cottontail rabbits from a dry foothills habitat in northern Colorado. M. Sc. Thesis. Fort Collins, Colorado. 109 pp.
- McGinnes, B.S. 1964. Parasites of cottontail rabbits in southwestern Virginia. Wildl. Dis. 35:1-11 (microcard).
- McKeever, S., J.H. Schubad, and M.D. Moody. 1958. Natural occurrence of tularemia in marsupials, carnivores, lagomorphs, and large rodents in southwestern Georgia and northwestern Florida. J. Infect. Dis. 103:120-126.
- Mitruka, B.M., and H.R. Rawnsley. 1981. Clinical biochemical and hematological reference values in normal experimental animals and normal humans. 2nd. edn. Masson. 413 pp.
- Mohr, C.O., and R.D. Lord Jr.. 1960. Relation of ectoparasite populations to rabbit populations in northern Illinois. J. Wildl. Manage. 24:290-297.
- Skrjabin, K.I. 1954. Essentials of nematology. Vol. III. Trichostrongylids of animals and man. Acad. Sci. of the USSR. 704 pp.
 - . 1964. Key to the trematodes of animals and man. U. Illinois Press. 351 pp.
 - . 1969. Key to the parasitic nematodes. Vol I. Spirurata and Filiariata. Israel Prog. Sci. Trans. Press. 497 pp.
- Stannard, L.J., and L.R. Pietsch. 1958. Ectoparasites of the cottontail rabbit in Lee County, northern Illinois. Ill. Nat. Hist. Surv. Bio. Note 38:1-18.
- Stiles, C.W. 1896. A revision of the adult tapeworms of hares and rabbits. Proc. U.S. Natl. Mus. 19:145-235.
- Warren, R.J., and R.L. Kirkpatrick. 1978. Indices of nutritional status in cottontail rabbits fed controlled diets. J. Wildl. Manage. 42:154-158.
- Yaich, S.C. 1981. Effects of density on some population parameters of confined cottontail rabbits. Ph.D. Diss., Southern Illinois Univ. at Carbondale. 144 pp.
- Ysmaguti, S. 1959. Systema helminthum. Vol. II. Cestodes of vertebrates. Interscience Publ. N.Y. 860 pp.

. 1961. Systema helminthum. Vol. III. The nematodes of vertebrates. Part I and II. Interscience Publ. N.Y. 1261 pp.

Yeatter, R.E., and D.H. Thompson. 1943. Cottontails, tularemia, and weather. Ill. Cons. 8:6-7,36. Appendix I. Collection method and location, date, sex, age, body weights and measures, and organ weights of cottontail rabbits necropsied in 1983.

er Method Wt. Lengths (m) (g) f Bate Sex ⁴ Age (g) Total foot ear spleen liver fat Shot Main 16 May F J 640 440 83 55 0.53 17.11 0.22 2 Shot Main 16 May F J 640 455 80 63 1.30 29.3 50.3 37.11 0.22 2 37.10 29.3 55 1.40 0.30 55.30 1.3 37.10 29.3 50 53 1.30 25.30 1.3 50 0.3 55.30 1.3 50 0.3 55.30 1.3 55 0.30 55 0.3 56 0.30 0.3 56 0.3 0.3 56 0.3 0.3 56 0.3 0.3 56 0.3 0.3 56 0.3 0.3 56 0.3 0.3 56 56	Necropsy		ocation						Body	٨		Organ	-8	Tissue Wts.	its.		Adrenals	als
Shot Main 16 May F J 640 440 83 55 0.58 17.11 0.2 Shot Main 16 May F J 640 440 83 55 0.58 17.11 0.2 Shot Main 16 May J 550 98 70 1.30 37.110 29.3 Shot Main 18 May J J 550 98 70 1.30 25.90 1.3 Trap Main 31 May J 735 440 30 37.10 29.3 Shot Main 31 May J 735 480 84 63 0.30 25.90 0.30 Shot Main 13 June F J 239 328 65 44 0.00 0.3 6.50 0.10 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	number	Method	,	Dat			Aged		Le Total	ngthe foot	-	spleen	ve	fa	kid L.	kidneys L. R.	」 「 」	R.
Shot Main 16 May F A 1410 550 98 70 1.30 37.10 29.3 Trap Main 17 May M J 535 480 84 64 0.70 23.380 7 Trap Main 17 May M J 735 495 84 63 0.30 25.590 1.3 Trap Main 31 May M J 240 310 55 44 0.20 7.90 0.8 Trap Main 31 May M J 240 310 55 44 0.20 7.90 0.3 Trap Main 13 June F J 218 321 60 39 0.20 7.90 0.70 Hand Main 13 June F J 218 321 60 0.20 10.10 1.00 12.60 7.90 0.25 Trap Main 13 June	83R01	Shot	Main	16 M	ye	E	ר	640	440	83	55	•	17.11	0	•	2.6	46.1	32.4
Shot Main 16 May F J 690 455 80 63 1.90 26.70 0.3 Trap Main 17 May M J 735 495 84 64 0.70 23.80 T Trap Main 17 May M J 735 495 84 65 0.30 25.90 1.3 Trap Main 31 May M J 240 310 56 44 0.20 7.90 0.8 Trap Main 13 June M J 239 328 55 45 0.10 7.60 0.3 Shot Main 13 June M J 321 26 44 0.00 15.60 1.7 Hand Main 13 June M J 321 23 10.0 1.6 5.80 4.2 0.10 1.20 1.10	83R02	Shot	Main	-	Ay	Ē.	¥	1410	550	98	70	•	37.10	29	4.4	4.7	92.7	69.3
Trap Main 17 May M J 635 480 84 64 0.70 23.80 T Trap Main 31 May M J 735 495 84 63 0.30 25.90 1.3 Trap Main 31 May M J 240 310 56 44 0.20 7.90 0.8 Trap Main 13 June M J 239 328 55 45 0.10 7.60 0.3 Shot Main 13 June M J 231 38 67 53 0.20 6.10 1.0 1.60 0.3 0.20 0.3 0.26 0.4 0.2 0.5 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.2 0.3 0.2 0.3 0.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 </td <td>83R03</td> <td>Shot</td> <td>Main</td> <td>16 M</td> <td>ау</td> <td>ţı,</td> <td>ŗ</td> <td>690</td> <td>455</td> <td>80</td> <td>63</td> <td>•</td> <td>26.70</td> <td>0</td> <td>•</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>44.4</td> <td>36.2</td>	83R03	Shot	Main	16 M	ау	ţı,	ŗ	690	455	80	63	•	26.70	0	•	2.6	44.4	36.2
Trap Main 18 May M J 735 495 84 63 0.30 25.90 1.3 Trap Main 31 May M J 240 310 56 44 0.20 7.90 0.8 Trap Main 31 May M J 239 328 55 45 0.10 7.60 0.3 Shot Main 13 June F J 218 321 60 39 0.20 7.90 0.8 Shot Main 13 June F J 218 321 60 39 0.20 1.0 1.00 12.60 T Hand Road 13 June F J 121 263 48 34 0.04 4.00 7.20 2.2 Trap Main 13 June F J 126 365 57 0.66 7	83R04	Trap	Main		ув	¥	ŗ	635	480	84	64	•	23.80		•	2.2	57.1	39.9
Trap Main 31 May M J 240 310 56 44 0.20 7.90 0.8 Trap Main 31 May M J 240 310 56 44 0.20 7.90 0.8 Trap Main 7 June M J 239 328 55 45 0.10 7.60 0.3 Shot Main 13 June M J 218 321 60 39 0.20 6.10 1.0 7.60 0.3 Shot Main 13 June M J 218 321 42 30 0.10 7.60 0.3 Trap Main 13 June F J 121 263 48 34 0.04 4.00 7 100 12.60 T Trap Main 13 June F J 121 263 48 34 0.04 4.00 7.20 2.20 0.65 67 10 1.20 <t< td=""><td>83R05</td><td>Trap</td><td>Main</td><td></td><td>ау</td><td>X</td><td>Ŀ</td><td>735</td><td>495</td><td>84</td><td>63</td><td>•</td><td>25.90</td><td>-</td><td>٠</td><td>2.8</td><td>74.7</td><td>52.3</td></t<>	83R05	Trap	Main		ау	X	Ŀ	735	495	84	63	•	25.90	-	٠	2.8	74.7	52.3
Trap Main 31 May M J 239 328 55 45 0.10 7.60 0.3 Trap Main 7 June M J 180 305 54 42 0.11 6.50 0.2 Shot Main 13 June M J 131 237 385 57 53 1.00 12.60 T Hand Main 13 June M J 327 385 67 53 1.00 12.60 T Trap Main 13 June F J 121 263 48 34 0.04 4.00 T Shot Main 13 June F J 121 263 56 44 0.06 7.20 2.22 Shot Crab 6 July M J 226 355 64 0.0 0.6 1.7 0.20 6.00 1.7 Trap Main 11 <jul><td>83R06</td><td>Trap</td><td>Main</td><td>31 M</td><td>ау</td><td>X</td><td>ŗ</td><td>240</td><td>310</td><td>56</td><td>44</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>0.8</td><td>•</td><td>1.2</td><td>18.1</td><td>16.0</td></jul>	83R06	Trap	Main	31 M	ау	X	ŗ	240	310	56	44	•	•	0.8	•	1.2	18.1	16.0
Trap Main 7 June M J 180 305 54 42 0.13 6.50 0.2 Shot Main 13 June F J 218 321 60 39 0.20 6.10 1.0 Hand Main 13 June F J 218 321 66 39 0.20 6.10 1.0 Hand Main 13 June F J 121 263 48 34 0.04 4.00 T Trap Main 13 June F J 121 263 48 34 0.04 4.00 T Trap Main 13 June F J 121 263 48 34 0.06 17 20 22 22 Shot Main 14 June F J 300 343 60 47 0.08 17 20 22 22 26 17 28 34.0 33.10 8.6 55	83R07	Trap	Main	31 M	ау	X	J	239	328	55	45	•	٠	0.3	1.1	1.2	19.6	14.0
Shot Main 13 June F J 218 321 60 39 0.20 6.10 1.0 Hand Main 13 June M J 131 237 385 67 53 1.00 12.60 T Hand Main 13 June F J 121 263 48 34 0.04 4.00 T Hand Road 13 June F J 121 263 48 34 0.04 4.00 T Shot Main 13 June F J 121 263 48 34 0.04 4.00 T Shot Crab 6 June F J 425 385 69 52 0.06 17 20 220 266 7.20 220 72 3.40 33.10 8.60 T Shot Crab July M <	83R08	Trap	Main	۲ آ	anu	X	ŗ	180	305	54	42	•	•	0.2	•	0.9	19.2	11.8
Hand Main 13 June M June June M June M June M June June M June	83R09	Shot	Main	13 J	une	F	ŗ	218	321	60	39	•	•	1.0	•	1.3	15.6	11.8
Trap Main 13 June M June F J 327 385 67 53 1.00 12.60 T Hand Road 13 June F J 121 263 48 34 0.044 4.000 T Shot Main 13 June F J 121 263 56 44 0.08 7.20 2.2 Shot Main 13 June F J 126 305 56 44 0.08 7.20 2.2 Shot Crab 6 July M A 1260 55 97 72 3.40 33.10 8.6 T Shot Crab 6 July M J 288 330 61 51 0.0 8.6 T 7 72 3.40 33.10 8.6 T Trap Main 11 July M	83R10	Hand	Main	13 J	une	X	٦	131	237	42	30	•		4.2	•	۲.	. 8.4	7.1
Hand Road 13 June F J 121 263 48 34 0.04 4.00 T Trap Main 13 June F J 196 305 56 44 0.08 7.20 2.2 Shot Main 13 June F J 425 385 69 52 0.62 15.20 0.6 Trap Main 14 June F J 425 385 69 52 0.62 15.20 0.6 Shot Crab 6 July M J 200 343 60 47 0.08 9.60 T Shot Crab 6 July M J 288 330 61 51 0.30 6.00* 1.7 Trap Main 11 July M J 450 421 70 55 0.80 13.50 T Trap Main 12 July M J 243 356 56 55 0.25 8.60 T Shot Main 12 July M J 409 402 62 56 0.80 14.40 T Shot Crab 27 July F J 518 415 74 59 1.20 18.20 0.6 Shot Crab 27 July M A 1258 585 101 72 1.00 36.40 30.4 Shot Crab 27 July M A 1258 585 101 72 1.00 36.40 30.4 Shot Crab 27 July M A 1258 585 101 72 1.00 36.40 30.4	83R11	Trap	Main	13 J	une	X	ŗ	327	385	67	53	•	•	H	•	4.	33.4	31.2
Trap Main 13 June F J 196 305 56 44 0.08 7.20 2.2 Shot Main 13 June F J 425 385 69 52 0.62 15.20 0.66 Trap Main 14 June F J 425 385 69 52 0.62 15.20 0.66 Shot Crab 6 July M J 200 343 60 47 0.08 9.60 T Shot Crab 6 July M J 288 330 61 51 0.30 33.10 8.6 Trap Main 11 July M J 288 330 61 51 0.30 6.00* 1.7 Trap Main 11 July M J 243 356 56 56 0.80 14.40 T Shot Main 12 July M J 243 356 56 56 0.80 14.40 T Shot Mai	83R12	Hand	Road		anu	E4	J	121	263	48	34	•	•	H		۲.	13.3	10.1
Shot Main 13 June F J 425 385 69 52 0.62 15.20 0.66 Trap Main 14 June F J 300 343 60 47 0.08 9.60 T Shot Crab 6 July M A 1260 565 97 72 3.40 33.10 8.6 Shot Crab 6 July M J 288 330 61 51 0.30 34.6 33.10 8.6 Shot Crab 6 July M J 288 330 61 51 0.30 6.00* 1.7 Trap Main 11 July M J 288 330 61 51 0.30 1.7 55 0.80 1.7 Shot Main 12 July M J 243 356 56 56 0.80 14.40 T Shot Main 12 July M J 243 356 56 56 0.80 14.40 T </td <td>83R13</td> <td>Trap</td> <td>Main</td> <td></td> <td>anu</td> <td>[24</td> <td>J</td> <td>196</td> <td>305</td> <td>56</td> <td>44</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>16.6</td> <td>13.3</td>	83R13	Trap	Main		anu	[24	J	196	305	56	44	•	•	•	•		16.6	13.3
Trap Main 14 June F J 300 343 60 47 0.08 9.60 T Shot Crab 6 July M A 1260 555 97 72 3.40 33.10 8.6 Shot Crab 6 July M A 1260 555 97 72 3.40 33.10 8.6 Shot Crab 6 July M J 288 330 61 51 0.30 6.00* 1.7 Trap Main 11 July M J 288 330 61 51 0.30 6.00* 1.7 Trap Main 11 July M J 243 356 56 55 0.25 8.60 T Shot Main 12 July M J 243 356 56 56 0.80 14.40 T Shot Main 12 July F J 518 415 74 59 1.20 19.40 0.	83R14	Shot	Main		anu	6 4,	J	425	385	69	52	•	•	•	٠	8,	24.1	25.2
Shot Crab 6 July M A 1260 565 97 72 3.40 33.10 8.6 Shot Crab 6 July M J 288 330 61 51 0.30 6.00* 1.7 Trap Main 11 July M J 450 421 70 55 0.80 13.50 T Trap Main 11 July M J 450 421 70 55 0.80 13.50 T Trap Main 11 July M J 243 356 56 55 0.25 8.60 T Shot Main 12 July M J 409 402 62 56 0.90 19.80 0.2 Shot Main 12 July F J 518 415 74 59 1.20 18.20 0.6 Shot Crab 27 July F J 518 415 74 59 1.00 30.40 30.4 Shot Cr	83R15	Trap	Main		anu	Ē4	сı	300	343	60	47	•	•	н		4.	32.8	22.5
Shot Crab 6 July M J 288 330 61 51 0.30 6.00* 1.7 Trap Main 11 July M J 450 421 70 55 0.80 13.50 T Trap Main 11 July M J 450 421 70 55 0.80 13.50 T Trap Main 11 July M J 243 356 56 55 0.25 8.60 T Shot Main 12 July M J 243 356 56 56 0.90 19.80 0.2 Shot Main 12 July F J 518 415 74 59 1.20 18.20 0.6 Shot Crab 27 July F J 518 415 74 59 1.00 30.40 30.4 Shot Crab 27 July M A 1258 585 101 72	83R16	Shot	Crab	6 J	uly	Σ	A	1260	565	97	72	•	•	•	•		207.1	163.4
Trap Main II July M J 450 421 70 55 0.80 13.50 T Trap Main II July M J 243 356 56 55 0.80 13.50 T Shot Main II July M J 243 356 56 55 0.25 8.60 T Shot Main 12 July F J 670 462 81 63 0.90 19.80 0.2 Shot Main 12 July F J 518 415 74 59 1.20 18.20 0.6 Shot Crab 27 July F J 518 415 74 59 1.00 30.40 30.4 Shot Crab 27 July M A 1258 585 101 72 1.00 30.70 5.3	83R17	Shot	Crab	6 J	uly	X	ŗ	288	330	61	51	•	•	1.7	1.5		16.0	14.7
Trap Main	83R18	Trap	Main	11 J	uly	W	Ŀ	450	421	70	55	•	•	н	1.8		37.7	29.9
Shot Main 12 July M J 409 402 62 56 0.80 14.40 T Shot Main 12 July F J 670 462 81 63 0.90 19.80 0.2 Shot Main 12 July F J 518 415 74 59 1.20 18.20 0.6 Shot Crab 27 July F A 1573 592 99 69 1.00 36.40 30.4 Shot Crab 27 July M A 1258 585 101 72 1.00 30.70 5.3	83R19	Trap	Main		uly	Σ	J	243	356	56	55	•	•	н	٠		27.6	21.9
Shot Main 12 July F J 670 462 81 63 0.90 19.80 0.2 Shot Main 12 July F J 518 415 74 59 1.20 18.20 0.6 Shot Crab 27 July F A 1573 592 99 69 1.00 36.40 30.4 Shot Crab 27 July M A 1258 585 101 72 1.00 30.70 5.3	83R20	Shot	Main		uly	X	J	409	402	62	56	•	•	н	•		36.2	28.8
Shot Main 12 July F J 518 415 74 59 1.20 18.20 0.6 Shot Crab 27 July F A 1573 592 99 69 1.00 36.40 30.4 Shot Crab 27 July M A 1258 585 101 72 1.00 30.70 5.3	83R21	Shot	Main		uly	۲.	Ŀ	670	462	81	63	•	•	•	•	•2	50.4	40.6
Shot Crab 27 July F A 1573 592 99 69 1.00 36.40 30.4 Shot Crab 27 July M A 1258 585 101 72 1.00 30.70 5.3	83R22	Shot	Main		uly	E.	Ŀ	518	415	74	59	•	•	0.6	•	د .	40.8	36.1
Shot Crab 27 July M A 1258 585 101 72 1.00 30.70 5.3	83R23	Shot	Crab	27 J	uly	ſ.	A	1573	592	66	69	•		30.4	•		113.6	74.8
	83R24	Shot	Crab	27 J	uly	W	A	1258	585	101	72	•	•	5•3	•		201.1	163.0

1

number Method Vite Lengths (mm) (g) (g) B3R25 Shot Crab 27 July M 1413 585 101 71 1.40 33.80 4.1 B3R25 Shot Crab 27 July M 1413 585 101 71 1.40 33.80 4.1 B3R26 Shot Crab 27 July M 1 666 496 84 70 1.50 23.40 3.6 B3R20 Shot Main 9 Mug 7 365 63 60 1.56 T 27.10 5.2 B3R20 Shot Main 9 Mug 7 565 53 60 1.36 7.1 50 23.40 3.6 2.90 1.2 60 1.56 T 50 53 53 53 50 1.36 53 50 1.3 50 23.40 3.0 23 50 23 50	Necropsy		Locationb						Body			Organ	Ş	Tissue Wts	lts.		Adrenals	als
Shot Crab 27 July M J 315 585 101 71 1.40 33.80 Shot Road 8 Aug M J 315 585 101 71 1.40 33.80 Shot Main 8 Aug M J 585 489 84 69 0.30 21.80 Shot Main 9 Aug M J 666 496 88 74 1.50 23.40* Shot Main 10 Aug M J 705 520 93 66 0.40 27.70 48.90 Shot Wain 10 Aug M J 705 520 93 66 0.40 27.70 48.90 Shot Wain 20 Aug M J 705 526 89 70 1.70 48.90 21.40* Shot Wain 20 Mag F J 705 52 30 21.40* Shot Wain 10<		Metho	Ś			ex	ged	• ~	Lei Total	ngths foot	(mm) ear	spleen	fve	fa	kid L.	kidneys R.	(mg L.	
Shot Road 8 Aug 7 3 35 53 53 50 1.60 12.60 Shot Main 9 Aug 7 3 15 382 63 60 1.60 12.60 23.40* Shot Main 9 Aug 7 552 99 74 1.50 23.40* Shot Main 10 Aug 7 705 520 93 66 0.40 27.70 Shot Main 10 Aug 7 705 520 93 66 0.40 27.70 Shot Main 29 Aug 7 130 80 57 67 59 0.22 12.90 Shot Wain 30 Aug 7 134 357 67 59 0.21 59 Shot West 3 30 44 52 88 70 1.20 28.60 Shot West 3 67 473 87 57 2.80 24.56	83825	Shot	Crab	1	^[5		5141	5.85	101	17				4	2	7 300	150 7
Shot Main 8 Aug F J 675 489 84 69 0.30 21.80 Shot Main 9 Aug M J 666 496 88 74 1.50 23.40* Shot Road 9 Aug M J 666 496 88 74 1.50 23.40* Shot Road 15 Aug M J 705 520 93 66 0.40 27.70 Dog Road 15 Aug M J 705 520 93 66 0.40 27.70 Shot Wain 20 Aug F J 348 357 67 59 0.22 12.90 Shot Wain 30 Aug F J 348 357 67 59 0.21 50 Shot Wain 30 Aug F J 348 357 67 56 015 56 66 66	83R26	Shot	Road		1 60	: Σ		315	362	63	60				1.8	1.7	36.5	28.6
Shot Main 9 Aug M J 666 496 88 74 1.50 23.40* Shot Road 9 Aug F A 1730 605 99 70 1.70 48.90 Trap Main 10 Aug M J 705 520 93 66 0.40 27.70 Dog Road 15 Aug M J 705 520 93 66 0.40 27.70 Shot Main 29 Aug F J 348 357 67 59 0.22 12.90 Shot Wain 30 Aug F J 348 357 67 59 0.22 12.90 Shot Wain 30 Aug F J 344 526 88 70 1.20 26.60 Shot Wain 30 Aug F J 344 56 67 56 0.15 8.80 Shot	83R27	Shot	Main	8 Au	• •	ju.		675	489	84	69	•	•	1.3	•		64.1	54.6
Shot Road 9 Aug F A 1730 605 99 70 1.70 48.90 Trap Main 10 Aug M J 705 520 93 66 0.40 27.70 Dog Road 15 Aug M J 705 520 93 66 0.40 27.70 Shot Wain 29 Aug F J 348 357 67 59 0.22 12.90 Shot Wain 30 Aug F J 874 526 88 72 3.00 21.50 Shot Main 30 Aug F J 874 536 97 70 1.20 26.60 Shot Main 30 Aug F J 874 58 70 1.20 26.60 Shot Main 3 0ct F J 314 380 67 56 0.150 27.00 34.20 Shot </td <td>83R28</td> <td>Shot</td> <td>Main</td> <td>9 Au</td> <td></td> <td>Σ</td> <td></td> <td>666</td> <td>496</td> <td>88</td> <td>74</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td>e</td> <td>•</td> <td>2.3</td> <td>40.8</td> <td>35.5</td>	83R28	Shot	Main	9 Au		Σ		666	496	88	74	•	•	e	•	2.3	40.8	35.5
Trap Main 10 Aug M J 705 520 93 66 0.40 27.70 Dog Road 15 Aug M J 705 520 93 66 0.40 27.70 Boot Wain 29 Aug F J 348 357 67 59 0.228 12.90 Shot Wain 30 Aug F J 348 357 67 59 0.22 12.90 Shot Wain 30 Aug F J 874 526 88 72 3.00 21.50 Shot Wain 30 Aug F J 890 546 51 70 1.20 26.66 Shot Wain 3 Oct F J 380 67 56 0.15 28.50 Shot Wain 3 Oct F A 1446 560 <	83R29	Shot	Road		50	E.		1730	605	66	70	•	•	3.0	5.4		105.3	78.3
Dog Road 15 Aug M J 89 248 45 36 0.228 2.90 Shot Main 29 Aug F J 348 357 67 59 0.228 12.90 Shot West 29 Aug F J 348 357 67 59 0.228 12.90 Shot West 20 Aug F J 874 526 88 72 3.00 21.50 Shot Main 30 Aug F J 890 546 91 70 120 26.66 Shot Main 3 Oct F J 314 380 67 56 0.15 8.80 Shot Main 3 Oct F J 314 380 67 70 170 26.66 Shot Main 5 Oct F J 1446 <	83R30	Trap	Main		-	Σ	J	705	520	93	66	•	•	5.2	•		49.5	37.5
Shot Main 29 Aug F J 348 357 67 59 0.22 12.90 Shot West 29 Aug F J 874 526 88 72 3.00 21.50 Shot Wain 30 Aug F J 874 526 88 72 3.00 21.50 Shot Main 30 Aug F J 694 473 87 70 1.20 26.60 Shot Wain 30 Aug F J 890 546 91 78 1.70 26.60 Shot Wain 3 0ct M J 964 530 90 67 56 0.15 8.80 Shot Main 3 0ct F J 1170 556 91 41.60 Shot Main 5 0ct F J 1170 550 94 77 2.80 94.20	83R31	Dog	Road	15 Au	-	Σ	J.	89	248	45	36	•	•	1.2	•		5•5	6.2
Shot West 29 Aug F J 874 526 88 72 3.00 21.50 Shot Main 30 Aug F J 694 473 87 70 1.20 26.10 Shot Main 30 Aug F J 694 473 87 70 1.20 26.10 Shot West 30 Aug F J 890 546 91 78 1.70 26.60 Shot Wain 3 Oct M J 964 530 90 69 0.90 28.50 Shot Main 3 Oct F J 1170 556 99 77 1.10 37.00 34.20 Shot Main 10 Oct F J 1170 550 99 77 1.10 37.00 34.20 Shot Main 10 Oct F J 1170 550 99 77 1.10 37.20 Trap Main 10 Oct	83R32	Shot	Main			Ē.	J	348	357	67	59	•	•	10.3			31.2	20.5
Shot Main 30 Aug F J 694 473 87 70 1.20 26.10 Shot Main 30 Aug F J 890 546 91 78 1.70 26.60 Shot West 30 Aug F J 890 546 91 78 1.70 26.60 Shot West 30 Aug F J 914 580 67 56 0.15 8.80 Shot Main 3 Oct F A 1446 560 95 77 2.80 41.60 Shot Main 5 Oct F J 1170 550 94 75 1.10 37.00 Shot Main 10 Oct F J 1170 550 94 77 2.80 41.60 Trap Main 10 Oct F J 1170 550 94 75 1.00 34.20 Trap Main 10 Oct F J 105	83R33	Shot	West		•	54	5	874	526	88	72	•	•	2.2	•		40.0	37.6
Shot Main 30 Aug F J 890 546 91 78 1.70 26.60 Shot West 30 Aug F J 314 380 67 56 0.15 8.80 Shot Main 3 Oct M J 964 530 90 69 0.90 28.50 Shot Main 3 Oct F J 1446 560 95 77 2.80 41.60 Shot Main 5 Oct F J 1170 550 94 75 1.10 37.00 Shot Main 10 Oct F J 1170 550 94 75 2.00 34.20 Trap Main 10 Oct F J 1052 595 99 76 1.20 30.40 Trap Main 10 Oct F J 1052 595 99 76 1.20 30.40 Trap Main 10 Oct M	83R34	Shot	Main				Ŀ	694	473	87	70	•	•	1.0	•		38.6	29.0
Shot West 30 Aug F J 314 380 67 56 0.15 8.80 Shot Main 3 Oct M J 964 530 90 69 0.90 28.50 Shot Main 3 Oct M J 964 530 90 69 0.90 28.50 Shot Main 5 Oct F A 1446 560 95 77 2.80 41.60 Shot Main 5 Oct F J 1170 550 94 75 1.10 37.00 Trap Main 10 Oct F J 1170 550 94 75 1.00 26.40 Trap Main 10 Oct F J 1052 595 99 76 1.20 30.40 Trap Main 10 Oct M A 1243 580 93 75 1.00 26.40 Trap Main 10 <	83R35	Shot	Main		60	Ľ.	5	890	546	91	78	•	•	1.6	•		45.3	36.1
Shot Main 3 Oct M J 964 530 90 69 0.90 28.50 Shot Main 3 Oct F A 1446 560 95 77 2.80 41.60 Shot Main 5 Oct F A 1446 560 95 77 2.80 41.60 Shot Main 5 Oct F J 1170 550 94 75 1.10 37.00 Shot Main 10 Oct F J 1170 550 94 75 2.00 34.20 Trap Main 10 Oct F J 1052 595 99 76 1.20 30.40 Trap Main 10 Oct M A 1243 580 93 75 1.00 26.40 Trap Main 10 Oct M A 1243 580 93 75 1.00 26.40 Shot Main 11	83R36	Shot	West		50		7	314	380	67	56	•	•	0.8	1.3		30.2	23.9
Shot Main 3 Oct F A 1446 560 95 77 2.80 41.60 Shot Main 5 Oct F A 1446 560 95 77 2.80 41.60 Shot Main 5 Oct F J 1170 550 94 75 1.10 37.00 Shot Main 10 Oct F J 1170 550 94 75 1.20 30.40 Trap Main 10 Oct F J 1052 595 99 76 1.20 30.40 Trap Main 10 Oct M A 1243 580 93 75 1.00 26.40 Shot Main 10 Oct M A 1306 618 98 72 0.60 30.00 Shot Main 11 Oct M J 1217 555 91 75 1.20 31.30 Shot Main 11	83R37	Shot	Main	3 Oc	Ļ		-	964	530	90	69	•		3.7	•		80.0	56.8
Shot Main 5 Oct F A 1432 585 99 77 1.10 37.00 Shot Main 5 Oct F J 1170 550 94 75 2.00 34.20 Trap Main 10 Oct F J 1170 550 94 75 2.00 34.20 Trap Main 10 Oct F J 1052 595 99 76 1.20 30.40 Trap Main 10 Oct M A 1243 580 93 75 1.00 26.40 Shot Main 11 Oct M A 1306 618 98 72 0.60 30.00 Shot Main 11 Oct M J 1217 555 91 75 1.20 31.30 Shot Main 11 Oct M J 1217 555 91 75 0.50 040 43.60	83R38	Shot	Main	3 Oc	Ļ		A	1446	560	95	77	•	•	6.7	٠		66.3	51.3
Shot Main 5 Oct F J 1170 550 94 75 2.000 34.20 Trap Main 10 Oct F J 1052 595 99 76 1.20 30.40 Trap Main 10 Oct M A 1243 580 93 75 1.000 26.40 Trap Main 10 Oct M A 1243 580 93 75 1.000 26.40 Shot Main 10 Oct M A 1306 618 98 72 0.60 30.00 Shot Main 11 Oct M J 1217 555 91 75 1.20 31.30 Shot Main 11 Oct M J 1217 555 91 75 0.50 04.30.60	83R39	Shot	Main	5 Oc	Ļ	EL.	A	1432	585	66	77	•	•	7.9	•		72.4	44.6*
Trap Main 10 0ct F J 1052 595 99 76 1.20 30.40 Trap Main 10 0ct M A 1243 580 93 75 1.00 26.40 Trap Main 10 0ct M A 1243 580 93 75 1.00 26.40 Shot Main 10 0ct M J 1217 555 91 75 1.20 31.30 Shot Main 11 0ct M J 1217 555 91 75 1.20 31.30 Shot Main 11 0ct F A 1443 590 97 75 0.90 43.60	83R40	Shot	Main		•			1170	550	94	75	•	•		•		77.0	58.8
Trap Main 10 0ct M 1243 580 93 75 1.00 26.40 Trap Main 10 0ct M A 1306 618 98 72 0.60 30.00 Shot Main 11 0ct M J 1217 555 91 75 1.20 31.30 Shot Main 11 0ct M J 1217 555 91 75 1.20 31.30 Shot Main 11 0ct F A 1443 590 97 75 0.90 43.60	83R41	Trap	Main					1052	595	66	76	•			3•5		84.8	68.4
Trap Main 10 Oct M 1306 618 98 72 0.60 30.00 Shot Main 11 Oct M J 1217 555 91 75 1.20 31.30 Shot Main 11 Oct M J 1217 555 91 75 1.20 31.30 Shot Main 11 Oct F A 1443 590 97 75 0.90 43.60	83R42	Trap	Main		•		A	1243	580	93	75	•	•	H	•		115.9	85.0
Shot Main 11 Oct M J 1217 555 91 75 1.20 31.30 Shot Main 11 Oct F A 1443 590 97 75 0.90 43.60	83R43	Trap	Main		-		A	1306	618	98	72	•	8.	25.8	•		128.7	93.0
Shot Main 11 Oct F A 1443 590 97 75 0.90 43.60	83R44	Shot	Main	11 0c			2	1217	555	91	75	•	•30	13.7			94.0	64.0
	83R45	Shot	Main	11 0c			A	1443	590	97	75	•	3.60	0.2			126.9	114.1

Necropsy number	Method	y Location ^b Method		Date S	Sex	Age	Wt. (g)	Body Wt. Lengths (mm (g) Total foot ear	ody Lengths al foot	(mm) ear	Organ & T spleen liver	Organ & Tissue Wts. (g) f en liver fat L.	1ssue W (g) f fat	lts. Ki	Kidneys R.	Adrenals (mg) L.	це В
83R46 83R47	Trap Trap	Main Main	12 12	12 Oct 12 Oct	[E. [E.	~ ~	1406 1438	605 615	96 66	73 75	1.00 3.10	34.30 37.80	5.3 7.6	4.6	4.8 3.5	107.3 104.5	78.5 81.0
⁴ Method: ^b Location ^c Sex: F	Hand = c Dog = ca n: Main = Road = Crab = West =	^a Method: Hand = captured by hand. ^b Method: Hand = captured by dog. ^b Location: Main = rabbit enclosure. Road = annex road near rabbit enclosure. Crab = Crab Orchard National Wildlife Refuge. ^c Sex: F = female. ^c Sex: F = female.	d by by enc road rcha	hand. dog. losure near rd Nat adjace	rabl rabl	oit e 11 Wi 10 rai	bit enclosure. al Wildlife Re to rabbit pen.	ure. e Refu	Lge .	· · · ·		200		1-1			
dAge: A = * Froot leng frat weigh T = trace. * = organ	<pre>m = mate. A = adult. J = juvenile. ength = hind ight = combin ce. an damaged du</pre>	<pre>dAge: n = mate.</pre>	eigh col	gth. ts of lectic	s ; n	scapu reigh	lar, t is	scapular, visceral weight is minimum.	ral, a im.	ind ki	ldney fa	ب					

Appendix II. Collection method and location, date, sex, age, body weights and measures, and organ weights of cottontail rabbits necropsied in 1984.

	Necropsy	Iocation ^b						Body	~		Organ	3	Tissue	Wts.		Adrenal	als
number	Method	L		Date	Sex	Age	Wt. (g)	Lei Total	Lengths tal foot	(mm) ear	spleen	ve	1 -		Kidneys L. R.	(mg L.	R.
84 RO 1	Dead	West	1	Feb	×	A	1150	562	88	66	0.5						1 (
84R02	Trap	West	14	Feb	Ŀ.	¥	1128	585	66	64	0.5	35.8	2.8	3°3	3.4	105.8	0.46
84 RO3	Trap	Main	15	Feb	¥	A	1102	580	94	78	1.3	ŵ	•		•		
84R04	Trap	SIU		Mar	5 2.	¥	1480	630	98	74	6.3	6	•	•	•		•
84 R05	Dead	Crab	e	Mar	24	A	1230	615	66	74	1.0	•	•	•	•		93.5
84R06	Dead	Crab		Mar	Ē.	A	1246	630	98	69	1.1	1.	٠	•	٠	•	
84R07	Trap	Crab	9	Mar	5 24	¥	961	580	95	65	0.8	5	•		•		
84R08	Dead			Mar	Σ	¥	1422	625	95	74	2.6		•		•		
84R09	Trap		22	Mar	Σ	A	1244	620	100	70	1.7	4.	•	3.3	•		
84R10	Dead			Mar	۶.	ŗ		196	32	21	1	ł	•	4.0		•	
84R11	Dead	Main		Apr	X	J		93	14	æ	0.2	•	•	0.1	•		
84R12	Dead	Main	4	Apr	Σ	ŗ		66	14	2	0.1	•	•	ł	ł	ł	ł
84R13	Dead	Main	4	Арг	Şæ.,	ŗ		95	14	10	0.1	•	•	ł	ł	1	ł
84R14	Dead	Main	4	Apr	ţı.	ŗ		95	16	2	0.1	•	•	ł	ł	1	1
84R15	Dead	Main	4	Apr	ţ z .,	ŗ	17	94	15	10	0.1	1.1	•		ł	1	ł
84R16	Dead	Main	4	Арг	X	J	18	95	14		0.1	•	•	ł	ł	1	1
84R17	Dead	Main	2	Apr	ţı,	J	16	110	15	2	0.1		•	ł	ł	ł	1
84R18	Dead	Main	2	Арг	Σ	ŗ	15	105	15	6	0.1		•	ł	ł	1	ł
84R19	Dead	Cnty		Мау	Į.	V	5	595	94	70	8.0	•	•	2.7			~
84R20	Dead	Annex		Apr	EL.	ŗ	147	265	44	40	0.1	•	•	1.2	1.0	18.8	16.8
84 R2 1	Shot	WFSP		June	X	¥	7	585	97	68	1.2	~	•		•		ŝ
84R22	Shot	WFSP		June	(III)	ŗ	7	466	86	62	0.6	•	H		•		9
84R23	Shot	WFSP	18	June	Σ	¥	S	593	94	73	0.9	6	2.1		•		6
84R24	Trap	Main	25	Inno	X	F	-	107			•	(

-

Necropsy		r Location ^b						Body	~		Org	Organ & Ti	Tissue Wts.	ts.		Adrenals	als
number	Metho	٩		Date	Sex	Age	Wt. (g)	Ler Total	Lengths al foot	(mm) ear	spleen	(g liver	fat	Kid L.	Kidneys L. R.	(mg) L.	. .
84 R2 5	Trap	Main	25	June	β ι	ŗ	596	493	85	61	0.3	17.7+	0•0	1.9	1.9	37.0	31.0
84R26	Trap	Main	25	June	ţæ.	ŗ	404	400	70	57	•	12.5+	0.0	1.5	1.5	32.7	18.2
84 R27	Trap	Main	26	June	X	Ъ	575	460	80	61	6.0	15.9+	0.0	•	2.0	38.5	28.5
84 R28	Trap	Main	26	June	X	J	652	477	84	68	•	16.7+	0.0	2.6	2.8	-	51.0
84R29	Dead	Main	28	June		¥	1458	604	71	100	2.8	51.8	12.3	•		-	104.6
84R30	Shot	WFSP	7	July	E.	л	379	380	61	52	•	10.94	ы	۰	•	27.3	24.1
84R31	Shot	WFSP	2	July	54	A	1367	600	94	65	٠	29.8+	5.5	•	4.4	113.9	98.1
84R32	Shot	Main	6	July		J	350	378	67	51	•	10.4+	0.4		1.7	28.4	24.3
84R33	Shot	Main	6	July		ŗ	329	367	99	50	0.8	10.64	F	1.7	1.8	31.7	27.7
84R34	Shot	Main	10	July		J	420	427	72	58	•	13.6+	0.3	٠		.37.8	33.9
84R35	Shot	Main	10	July		J	568	440	73	61	•	18.0+	0.3	•	2.2	44.1	34.2
84R36	Shot	Main	10	July		Ъ	627	473	81	61		20.5+	ы	•	•	64.6	51.2
84R37	Dead	Main	22	July		Ч		1	ł	ł		ł	1	ł	1	ł	ł
84R38	Trap	Main	23	July	Çarı	J	370	420	72	55	0.4	10.1	0.0		1.7	48.4	39.4
84R39	Shot	Main	23	July		J	434	397	71	51	0.3	13.7	0.1	•	1.8	43.1	35.2
84R40	Hand	Main	29	July		ч	647	480	75	61	1.9	20.0	H	•		66.8	56.7
84R41	Shot	Main	9	Aug	Гъ.	J	420	420	11	53	0.2	12.1	0.2	٠	٠	29.0	24.4
84R42	Trap	Main	2	Aug	Γ±.,	J	550	448	89	62	0•6	9.7	0.0	•	•	62.5	50.6
84R43	Shot	Main	2	Aug	ja,	Ч	564	421	70	57	0.9	17.5	1.4	2.1	2.4	43.4	30.7
84R44	Shot	Main	2	Aug	X	A	1171	570	95	99	1.4	23.0	0.4	•	•	115.4	88.5
84R45	Trap	Main	19	Aug	Бъ.	J	615	470	80	59	0.7	8	0.1	•	•	49.9	40.3
84R46	Trap	Main	20	Aug	Σ	J	522	443	77	54	0.7	19.4	1.5	1.9	2.0	40.1	33.5
84R47	Trap	Main	20	Aug	X	J	632	475	80	61	0.6	19.5	ы	1.9	٠	45.1	36.1
84R48	Trap	Main	21	Aug	Σ	J	478	436	73	54	0.4	•	н	1.7	٠	29.2	27.8

2. Adrenals (**BE**) 37.2 986.6 986.6 79.1 35.4 173.8 173.8 173.8 173.8 49.1 107.4 45.5 66.7 66.7 66.7 66.7 65.9 53.0 53.0 88.9 88.9 88.9 88.9 83.5 53.5 30136750730888885787855 30136750730888885557 30136750730888885557 301367507308888855557 30136750730888 Kidneys L. R. 5001165008222100816120055 Organ & Tissue Wts. fat (g) liver spleen Total foot ear Lengths (mm 00221123333759181736666 Body Wt. (g) 289 [403] [403] [403] [403] [403] [403] [502] [503] [108] [503] [108] [503] [108] [503] [108] [108] [503] [5 Sex^c Age $F \vdash \Sigma \vdash F \vdash \Sigma \Sigma \vdash \Sigma \Sigma \Sigma \Sigma \Sigma \Sigma \vdash F$ Σ Ŀ Ŀ Sept Aug 0ct 0ct Oct Oct Oct Oct Oct Oct Oct 0 C T 0 C t Date 8 2 2 number Method 84,R49 84,R51 84,R51 84,R51 84,R53 84,R55 84,R55 84,R55 84,R55 84,R55 84,R55 84,R55 84,R56 84,R56 84,R65 84,R56 84,R57 84

		Tocationb					Body			Organ	تع	Tissue Wts.		1	Adrenals	16
number	Method		Date	Sex ⁶ A	Age	Wt. (g)	Leng Total	Lengths (al foot e	ear s	spleen) liver	(g) f fat	Kidneys L. R.	eys R.	(mg)	к .
												1				0
	1			X	•	1310	615	94	67	1.9	36.3	12.8	3.4	3.8	1.21	0.10
84R73	Trap		22 UCE	E ;		0101		00	76		46.8+	5.4	4.4	4.6	166.0	98.8
84R74	Shot		YON 2	EI				20	22		37.5+	1.4	3.6	4.2	128.5	103.0
84R75	Shot		2 Nov	ΓL,		06.21					35.34		3.1	3.5	138.1	100.0
84876	Shot		2 Nov	Σ		1292		86	0 i		10.00			3.6	67.5	53.1
84877	Shot		4 Nov	ţ.		1259		96	14		12.00			3.6	93.9	68.3
84878	Shot		4 Nov	¥		1268		96	22		50.0 1			4.7	6.67	46.2
84879	Shot	Main	4 Nov	Σ	A	1412	615	96 2	2 7	0•7	47.24	21.7	4.1	4.4	63.3	50.0
84880	Trap		6 Nov	β z .,		1428		96	n (10.04		3.4	3.7	167.5	98.3
84881	Shot		30 Nov	Σ		1176		3	27		+0 12	1 1	4.4	4.5	105.2	61.7
84 R82	Shot		30 Nov	(Zza		1377		86	4		38.24	8	4.6	4.7	126.0	85.2
84R83	Shot		30 Nov	Γz.		1448		86.	00		18.86		3.8	4.1	126.9	88.3
84R84	Shot		30 Nov	Σ		1383		103	00		-		3.8	4.1	184.6	140.9
84R85	Shot		30 Nov	Σ		1455		06					5.1	5.5	249.9	167.7
84 R 8 6	Shot		6 Dec	Σ		1119		100				17.8	3.8	3.9	161.6	126.3
84R87	Trap		25 Feb	لعا		1242		7.6	6)		

÷,

t ^A Ag ^d Wt. Lengthg (mm) spleen liver fat L. R. L.	number	Necropey	Location					Body	٨		Org	Organ & T	Tissue Wts.	Wts.		Adrenals	als
Trap Main 28 Feb M A 1383 634 101 75 1.0 39.1 7.4 3.3 3.4 255.4 Shot Main 14 Mar M A 1383 634 101 75 1.0 39.1 7.4 3.3 3.4 255.4 Shot Main 14 Mar M A 1265 576 95 70 3.3 24.8H 9.0 3.7 3.6 151.6 d: Dead animal found dead. Har A 1265 576 95 70 3.3 24.8H 9.0 3.7 3.6 151.6 d: Dead animal found dead. Har A 1265 576 95 70 3.3 24.8H 9.0 3.7 3.6 151.6 d: Dead antextroad natus rabit enclosure 101 76 10.7 70 3.7 3.6 151.6 d: Dead antext road nationature for tabut for tabut 1014.7 101		Method	4		Ser	Age	Wt. (g)	Lei Total			spleen	liver	(g) fat	Kid L.	neys R.	(而g L。	R .
<pre>Shot Main 14 Mar F A 1410 613 102 71 2.7 34.7+ 15.5 3.8 4.2 114.2 Shot Main 14 Mar F A 1410 613 102 71 2.7 34.7+ 15.5 3.8 4.2 114.2 di Dead = animal found dead. Hand = catured by hand. fon: Main = rabbit enclosure. fon: Main = rabbit enclosure. fon: Main = rabbit enclosure. Road = annex road near rabbit enclosure. Road = annex road near rabbit enclosure. forty = Jackson county; Delivered by Animal Control Officer. Cuty = Jackson county; Delivered by Animal Control Officer. fr = female. WFSP = Wayne Fitzgerrell State Park. West = west pen, adjacent to rabbit pen. F = female. M = male. J = juvenile.</pre>	34 R88	Trap	Main	28 Feb	Σ	×	1383	634	101	75	1.0	39.1	7.4	3.3	3.4	255.4	163.1
<pre>d: Dead = animal found dead. Hand = catured by hand. ion: Main = rabbit enclosure. Road = annex road near r Annex = in vicinity of a SIU = within 2 km of rab Cnty = Jackson county; D Crab = Crab Orchard Nati WFSP = Wayne Fitzgerrell WFSP = west pen, adjacen F = female. A = adult. J = juvenile.</pre>	84R89 84R90	Shot Shot	Main Main	14 Mar 14 Mar	μX	4 4	1410 1265	613 576	102 95	70	2.7 3.3	34.7+ 24.8+		3.8	4.2 3.6	114.2	78.6 93.8
Tot wolcht - sochland wolchte of Jeterstoniles - Jeters	Method: Locatic Sex: F Age: A Foot le	: Dead Hand Anne SIU Crab WFSF WFSF West West = male. Juven	<pre>animal f catured catured catured annex within Jackso Crab 0 awest p e. file. hind foot</pre>	ound dea by hand. enclosu road nea cinity o 2 km of n county rchard N Fitzgerr en, adja length.		bbit nex h liten liten to ru to ru	encloe ouse. closui ed by fldlff Park. abbit	ure. Fe. Anima pen.	L Cont uge.	trol ()fficer.						

-

		r	

